

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION O.	
09/911,963	07/23/2001	James B. Terry	1391-10210	7967	
23505	7590 09/03/2003				
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			LEE, JONG SUK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

### Advis ry Action Compt. Compt.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
## Committed Com	Advis ny Action	09/911,963	TERRY ET AL.				
The RPEILY FILED O1 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116. The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the status period for reply expires and in the final rejection of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the status period for reply expires and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the status price of the purposes of determining the period of common and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the status predict of the plant of SCFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension few has been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of examination and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any serror part of the extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any serror part of the extension few dates and the status of the proposed amendment (s) will not be entered because: (a) A notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(b), to avoid dis	Advis Ty Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE and the reference of the properties of the prope	•	Jong-Suk (Jam s) Lee	3673				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance, (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY Check either a) or b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a) The period for reply expires 2_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advasory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, hower, with the statutory period for reply expire last remains MAMONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700-700-700-700-700-700-700-700-700-700	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
b) The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in 50 above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension the extension the filed of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension the extension the filed of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension the extension that the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the malling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) calculated from (i) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply repland) set in the final caction; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \subseteq they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \subseteq they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \subseteq they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (d) \subseteq they raise does new matter (see Note below); (e) \subseteq they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \subseteq they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \subseteq they proposed or amended cla							
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. □ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) □ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) □ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) bejected to:	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3 ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5 ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6 ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7 ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35.38-47.64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.10-13.15.17-25.48-62.68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9 ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee	$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(c)	(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35.38-47.64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.10-13.15.17-25.48-62.68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee							
 3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ⋈ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ⋈ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ⋈ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee 	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35.38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee 	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee		be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.□ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee			sidered but does NOT place the				
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. Jong-Suk (James) Lee		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. Other:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. Other:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 and 72-74 as per final rejection mailed 5/6/2003. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. Other: Jong-Suk (James) Lee	Claim(s) allowed: 33-35,38-47,64-67 and 71.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. ☐ Other: Jong-Suk (James) Lee	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. Other: Jong-Suk (James) Lee	Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,10-13,15,17-25,48-62,68-70 a	nd 72-74 as per final rejection mail	ed 5/6/2003.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 14. 10. Other: Jong-Suk (James) Lee	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
10. ☐ Other: Jong-Suk (James) Lee	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
Jong-Suk (James) Lee		nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	14. A. P. S.				
	10 Otilei	•	Jong-Suk (James) Lee Patent Examiner Pu 3673				

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/911,963

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In amended claims 1 and 21, the additional limitation, "propulsionsystem being powered by the fluids circulating through said composite tube to propel the well apparatus within the well" raises the new issue requiring furth r consideration and/or search for the combination of the well apparatus as claimed. Furth r, with respect to the argument for the Thomeer et al.'s composite coiled tubing which will stand compression loads by a tubing injector to prevent buckling so that it is designed for the compression not for the tension of the coiled tubing, the composite coiled tubing of Thomeer et al. undergoes numerous bending event each item is is run into and out of a well bore and it go s into tubing injector for entry into the wellbore, each bending/buckling event is repeated in reverse when the tubing is later xtract d (pulled) from the well bore (see col.6, lines 24-33).